## **EXHIBIT 1**

```
Page 1
1
2
     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
     SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
     Case No. 18-CV-02458 (VB) (JCM)
3
     CARLOS FLORES, LAWRENCE BARTLEY,
     DEMETRIUS BENNETT, L'MANI DELIMA,
5
     EDGARDO LEBRON, ANTONIO ROMAN,
     DONTAE QUINONES and SHAROD LOGAN,
6
     on behalf of themselves and all
     others similarly situated,
7
                              Plaintiffs,
                 -against-
8
                                             Oct. 29, 2021
     TINA M. STANFORD, as Chairwoman of
                                             TANA AGOSTINI
9
     the New York State Board of Parole;
     WALTER W. SMITH, as Commissioner of
10
     the New York State Board of Parole;
     JOSEPH P. CRANGLE, as Commissioner of
11
     the New York State Board of Parole;
     ELLEN E. ALEXANDER, as Commissioner of
12
     the New York State Board of Parole;
     MARC COPPOLA, as Commissioner of
13
     the New York State Board of Parole;
     TANA AGOSTINI, as Commissioner of
14
     the New York State Board of Parole;
     CHARLES DAVIS, as Commissioner of
15
     the New York State Board of Parole;
     ERIK BERLINER, as Commissioner of
16
     the New York State Board of Parole;
     OTIS CRUSE, as Commissioner of
17
     the New York State Board of Parole;
     TYECE DRAKE, as Commissioner of
     the New York State Board of Parole;
18
     CARYNE DEMOSTHENES, as Commissioner of
19
     the New York State Board of Parole;
     MICHAEL CORLEY, as Commissioner of
20
     the New York State Board of Parole;
     CHANWOO LEE, as Commissioner of
     the New York State Board of Parole;
21
     SHEILA SAMUELS, as Commissioner of
22
     the New York State Board of Parole;
     ELSIE SEGARRA, as Commissioner of
     the New York State Board of Parole;
23
     and CARLTON MITCHELL, as Commissioner
24
     of the New York State Board of Parole;
                              Defendants.
25
```

	Page 2
1	
2	October 29, 2021
3	9:46 a.m.
4	
5	Video-recorded deposition of
6	TANA AGOSTINI, taken by attorneys for Plaintiffs
7	via Zoom, before Helen Mitchell, a Registered
8	Professional Reporter and Notary Public.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 25
1	Agostini
2	think Kathryn is showing it on the
3	screen for ease. If she's scrolling
4	too fast, we can slow down for you.
5	THE WITNESS: No, it's fine. I
6	just think I did lose that exhibit
7	program; I don't know where it went.
8	MS. HERNANDEZ: Can we just go
9	off the record?
10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off
11	the record 10:07.
12	(Pause)
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on
14	record 10:09 a.m., continuing on media
15	number one.
16	BY MS. HERNANDEZ:
17	Q So have you had a chance to
18	review Exhibits 1 and 2, Commissioner Agostini?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And do you recognize these
21	documents?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Did you receive these documents
24	when you were nominated to the Board of Parole?
25	A I think I got the Board of

	Page 26
1	Agostini
2	Parole Q and A when I was nominated.
3	Q And did someone walk you
4	through these or did you just read them on your
5	own in preparation for the Senate hearing?
6	A I honestly don't remember. It
7	was four and a half years ago.
8	Q Are these the type of documents
9	that once you became or were appointed to the
10	Board of Parole you would have referenced when
11	making parole decisions?
12	A Not so much.
13	Q I think you mentioned earlier
14	that once you were appointed you received
15	additional training. Is that correct?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Okay.
18	What type of training did you
19	receive after you were appointed?
20	A I received a variety of
21	trainings, from reviewing the language in 259-i,
22	medical parole statute. Those are the ones that
23	come off the top of my head.
24	We received training on
25	Q With respect to the training

Page 27 1 Agostini 2 you just mentioned regarding the Executive Law 3 259-i, were those trainings in person? Α Yes. 4 5 And who conducted those 0 6 trainings? 7 Those trainings were generally 8 led by counsel's office, but we also had some 9 doctors come in for training with medical 10 parole, who were not from the agency. 11 And what were the doctors --12 what topics were the doctors training you on? 13 Α We spoke about the language that is used in the Comprehensive Medical 14 15 Summary for medical conditions that incarcerated 16 people might be diagnosed with and have on their 17 conference of medical summaries that are in our 18 files, and to answer questions about what those 19 mean, make sure that we understood some of 20 the -- the medical terminology; to ambulate, if 21 somebody can ambulate or not, for example. 22 we went through the different language that's 23 used in the Comprehensive Medical Summary. 24 Q Did any doctors speak on the 25 neurological development of the human brain?

	Page 28
1	Agostini
2	A Not that I recall, no.
3	Q Did any doctor speak about
4	childhood psychology?
5	A Not that I recall, no. That
6	particular training was about the Comprehensive
7	Medical Summary.
8	Q In your
9	A We've had training with OMH
10	also.
11	Q And the training that you had
12	with OMH, did those cover the neurobiological
13	development of the human brain?
14	A I don't recall discussion about
15	the neurological development of the human brain.
16	Q What about childhood
17	psychology?
18	A I don't recall childhood
19	psychology.
20	Q In addition to the training
21	you've mentioned regarding the New York
22	Executive Law, did you also receive what I
23	believe is called the commissioner binder?
24	A We received a commissioner
25	binder maybe a couple years later. We didn't

Page 33 1 Agostini 2 Q And did anyone walk you through 3 the materials that are listed in the index? I don't believe I was walked 4 Α 5 through the materials that are in the index at 6 the time I was given the book. 7 Since the time you received the Q 8 book, have any of these sections been reviewed 9 with you or explained to you? 10 Well, I don't believe since the Α 11 time of the book, but we had Sex Offender 12 Registration Act training prior to being given 13 the book. So many of the materials that we 14 received in the trainings are what is in this 15 book. 16 Are there -- if we look 0 Okav. 17 at the index, are there any materials listed on 18 the index that you regularly refer to when 19 making parole decisions? 20 You said that, you know, you 21 take your binder with you or you keep it in the 22 office as a reference material. Are there any 23 materials listed on the index that you regularly 24 refer to when making parole decisions? 25 Α Well, I use the regulation and

	Page 34
1	Agostini
2	I use the statute.
3	Q So those would be listed as 14
4	and 15 on the index?
5	A They are listed here. I just
6	look directly at the statute and at the
7	regulations. I don't use go to the book to
8	get them, I have them already. But, yes, they
9	are 14 and 15 here.
10	Q Okay.
11	If we look at the index, by 32
12	there's something that says, "COMPAS and Case
13	Plan." Do you refer to that when you're making
14	parole decisions?
15	A Not while I'm making the
16	decisions. We've already received the training
17	on it, so I don't refer to it for each decision,
18	go back to the book.
19	Q But if you have any questions
20	do you refer to it?
21	A If I have questions, I can
22	refer to it, or I can ask counsel's office for
23	guidance, I can go to the chairperson for
24	guidance, or I can ask for help if I feel like I
25	need help or have a question about the COMPAS or

	Page 35
1	Agostini
2	the case plan.
3	Q Do you often ask counsel for
4	guidance?
5	A I have at times asked for
6	guidance. I don't know about I would qualify it
7	as "often," but I've certainly asked.
8	Q There are other sections here,
9	33, which says "Minor Offenders," and 35, which
10	says "Board Interviews and Decisions." Are
11	those materials that you review in conjunction
12	with your work as the commissioner making parole
13	decisions?
14	A Yes, I review these in
15	conjunction with making decisions.
16	Q In fulfilling your duties as a
17	Board of Parole commissioner, do you try to
18	follow the advice that's set forth in these
19	materials?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And do you recall any instances
22	where you may have disagreed with any of the
23	advice that's set forth in these materials?
24	A I don't recall an instance
25	currently.

	Page 36
1	Agostini
2	Q How often I know that you
3	said that you've received training on how to
4	interpret the applicable law, specifically
5	259-i, when governing release decisions, but how
6	often do you receive training on how to of
7	the Executive Law and the regulations? Is that
8	something you just get once and done, or is it
9	periodically refreshed?
10	MR. HARBEN: Object to form.
11	You may answer.
12	THE WITNESS: I may answer?
13	MR. HARBEN: If you understand
14	the question.
15	A I believe that we have had the
16	opportunity for additional training; it isn't
17	only one time and that's it.
18	Q Is it your impression that at
19	these trainings counsel wants to make sure that
20	a consistent interpretation of the Executive Law
21	and the regulations is done by all
22	commissioners, or understood by all
23	commissioners?
24	MR. HARBEN: Object to the
25	extent this calls for any

	Page 40
1	Agostini
2	A I like to I definitely like
3	to hear what the judges have to say; I certainly
4	find their opinions interesting. Some judges
5	more so than others. You get to know some of
6	their names as the years go on. So I do like to
7	read what they have to say. If it was one of my
8	cases and the judge found in favor of the
9	petitioner, I certainly want to learn what
10	happened. Maybe another case that I wasn't on
11	but that I'm interested in. So I do read most
12	of them.
13	Q And do you discuss them with
14	board counsel after you read them?
15	A Only if I have a question.
16	Q Okay.
17	And do you use these cases as
18	guidance for your work as commissioner?
19	A I have, yes.
20	Q Since you said you like to read
21	cases, I'm just are you familiar with the
22	Hawkins case?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And how did you come to learn
25	about the Hawkins case?

Agostini

A I think from counsel at the Assembly. I think that decision occurred while I was still employed at the Assembly, and I learned of it in connection with my employment there, because we received decisions all the time.

Q And what is your understanding of what Hawkins requires of commissioners when they're rendering decisions of potential release?

Α Well, essentially that we are considering that they were youthful, and what So people who have committed that means. offenses where they received a life sentence -so Hawkins is for lifers under the age of 18 at the time of the offense -- and we consider the hallmark characteristics, such as their maturity at that time, their growth and maturity since that time of the offense, and other characteristics that they likely possessed at that time, not in possession of consequential thinking of what might happen as a result of their actions, that they are maybe more easily influenced by their peers or family pressures,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 42 1 Agostini 2 for example, that they may be impulsive, more 3 impulsive as a youth than adults are. And during the interviews I try 4 5 to get to know who that person was when they 6 were younger, and talk about their life growing 7 up, and where they were at the time of the 8 offense, where they were at school, where they 9 were emotionally, how things were with their 10 family, and try to get an understanding and a 11 picture of what was going on in their lives at 12 that point. 13 So you mentioned that your Q 14 initial understanding of what Hawkins 15 required -- the first time you heard about 16 Hawkins was while you were still at the 17 Assembly. Once you became a commissioner, did 18 you get additional training or guidance on how 19 you should consider the issues you just raised 20 about Hawkins? 21 Α Yes. Yes, we did receive such 22 training. 23 Q And was that provided by 24 Miss Kiley? 25 Yes, counsel's office; Kiley Α

	Page 43
1	Agostini
2	and her staff provided us the trainings.
3	Q And did that training in any
4	way change what you had already learned or
5	understood when you first heard about Hawkins at
6	the Assembly's office?
7	A Yes.
8	MR. HARBEN: Object to the
9	extent it calls for attorney-client
10	communications, but
11	MS. HERNANDEZ: It was a yes or
12	no question, Jeb, but okay.
13	A Yes.
14	Q And do you follow the advice
15	that you received from board counsel's office to
16	the best of your ability when you're rendering
17	parole decisions?
18	A We do.
19	Q Do you recall if you've ever
20	disagreed with any of the advice related to the
21	Hawkins decision that's been provided to you by
22	the board's counsel's office?
23	A I don't recall disagreeing, no.
24	Q Do you recall receiving a memo
25	from board counsel in May 2018 regarding the

Page 44 1 Agostini 2 Hawkins decision? I recall that we have 3 Α memorandum regarding the Hawkins decision. 4 Ι 5 don't recall what we got it in May of 2018, if it's the same memorandum. 6 7 Do you know if anything Q 8 happened in 2018 that would have required an 9 update to the memorandum? Because the Hawkins 10 decision came out in 2016. Do you know if there 11 was anything that would have caused -- for there 12 to be an updated memo to be provided to the 13 commissioners in 2018? The only thing I can think of 14 Α 15 was the -- the Montgomery case, that there might 16 have been a U.S. Supreme Court decision, but I 17 don't remember if that was that year or not. 18 Q Earlier we were talking about 19 the commissioner binder and how you travel with 20 it and keep it in your office as a reference 21 Do you keep the Hawkins memo with you so 22 that you can refer back to it if necessary while 23 making your parole decisions? 24 Α I actually do have it with me, 25 yes.

Page 60 1 Agostini 2 forth in the Executive Law, but I'm sure you 3 know them since you worked on it as a -- as an analyst in the Assembly, and then now as a 4 5 parole commissioner. How do these factors of 6 7 diminished culpability of youth and growth and 8 maturity interact with the factors set forth in 9 the Executive Law? 10 Object to the form MR. HARBEN: 11 of the question, and specifically what 12 factors in the Executive Law you're talking about. 13 14 MS. HERNANDEZ: The eight 15 factors set forth in 259-i(c)(A)(ii). 16 MR. HARBEN: Okav. 17 Α Well, the institutional record, 18 the release plans, then there's a number of 19 elements in the factors that would illuminate 20 the maturity and growth since the time of the 21 offense. I don't think those are the only 22 ways -- they're definitely not the only ways to 23 measure somebody's, you know, maturity and 24 growth at the time of the offense, but we are 25 required to consider the factors.

	Page 61
1	Agostini
2	And you asked where do they
3	intersect. So I don't think, for example, that
4	having a deportation order necessarily has
5	any as an intersection would be
6	considerations for youth in the factors, but I
7	would say the factors that relate to the release
8	plans, the community resources and their
9	institutional record, performance, the
10	rehabilitative programs, their accomplishments.
11	So those two come to mind.
12	Q So if you determine that at the
13	time of the offense a juvenile lifer had the
14	hallmarks of youth, diminished culpability, and
15	also that the juvenile lifer has demonstrated
16	growth and maturity since the offense, are you
17	obligated to release them for parole?
18	MR. HARBEN: Object to the form
19	question.
20	You may answer.
21	A It does not mandate release,
22	no.
23	THE WITNESS: I am going to
24	need a restroom break soon.
25	MS. HERNANDEZ: We can take one

	Page 62
1	Agostini
2	now. That's fine.
3	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
4	MS. HERNANDEZ: Do you want to
5	say ten minutes?
6	THE WITNESS: That's fine.
7	Thank you.
8	MS. HERNANDEZ: No worries.
9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This
10	concludes media number one, going off
11	record 10:56 a.m.
12	(Recess taken)
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back
14	on record 11:11 a.m. This marks the
15	beginning of media number two. Thank
16	you.
17	BY MS. HERNANDEZ:
18	Q Welcome back, Commissioner
19	Agostini.
20	I think earlier you mentioned
21	that you're familiar with the New York Executive
22	Law section 259-i; is that correct?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And is that the legal standard
25	that's applied when making parole decisions?

Page 71 1 Agostini 2 determination of whether an individual is likely 3 to remain at liberty without violating the law? What information in the parole materials do you 4 5 consider particularly relevant to this analysis? 6 Their performance under 7 custody. Discipline would be one example. 8 Performance in the programs. What they say 9 during the interview, and what their behavior 10 was prior to the offense itself, their history. 11 You said that what they say 12 during the interview is relevant to your 13 analysis. What questions do you ask at the 14 interview to get at this issue? 15 Α I think that their responses to 16 a variety of questions reveal -- are revealing 17 as to whether or not there is a likelihood that 18 they might reoffend in the same way or a 19 different way. 20 So, for example, if they 21 minimize the crime, if they are making excuses, 22 if they are trying to avoid responsibility and 23 hold other people responsible and it wasn't 24 them, I might think that they're lacking in some

level of insight or understanding of the bigger

	Page 72
1	Agostini
2	picture, how their actions impact others, at the
3	role that they did play, and so that lack of
4	insight or understanding could be concerning.
5	Q Did you receive any guidance on
6	how to determine risk of recidivism, or is it up
7	to your judgment?
8	A I think it's mostly up to my
9	judgment, based on the materials that I'm
10	provided and required to consider.
11	Q Is your opinion
12	A It's not just a wild opinion
13	pulled out of thin air.
14	Q Is one of the pieces of
15	information you consider when applying the first
16	legal standard about the reasonable probability
17	of remaining at liberty without violating the
18	law the COMPAS report?
19	A It's one tool, yes.
20	Q Is disciplinary history while
21	incarcerated a factor that goes into your
22	analysis of the standard?
23	A That's another piece of it,
24	yes.
25	Q And that's because you think

	Page 73
1	Agostini
2	that generally, if somebody who had difficulty
3	following the rules inside prison would have
4	difficulty complying with the law once they
5	leave prison; is that right?
6	A It's certainly possible.
7	Q When looking at the
8	disciplinary history, do you weigh differently
9	disciplinary infractions committed shortly after
10	incarcerations versus closer to the date of the
11	hearing?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And is that true for juvenile
<b>1 4</b>	lifers as well?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Do you consider the
17	disciplinary infractions that happened shortly
18	after incarceration as a factor weighing against
19	release for juvenile offenders?
20	A If it were a serious
21	infraction, it could. Depends what it was and
22	how long ago it was. But generally I consider
23	more recent discipline rather than the very
24	first discipline when they first got here and
25	were still quite young. But it depends what it

Page 95 1 Agostini 2 some facts and less time on others. 3 factor, most of them have several elements in them. 4 5 In the cases of juvenile 0 6 lifers, are there some factors that are more 7 important or more helpful in making your 8 decisions with respect to parole to juvenile lifers? 9 10 Α I think their release plans are 11 very important. I think their accomplishments, 12 their growth, what they've done, what they've 13 achieved, all speaks to their growth and 14 maturity, how they've utilized their time. So 15 those factors are particularly important. 16 They are all important, I will 17 consider every one of them. Most juvenile 18 lifers will not have been in a temporary release 19 program. 20 Q As a parole board commissioner, 21 do you have a discretion to consider other 22 factors than the ones listed here? 23 Α Yes. 24 Q And what are some of the 25 factors beyond this list that you can consider

	Page 96
1	Agostini
2	when making your parole decisions?
3	A Remorse, for example. Insight.
4	Q And is it ever appropriate to
5	deny release based on just one of these factors?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And what about the inverse, is
8	it ever appropriate to grant release based on
9	one of these factors?
10	A Well, release has to be granted
11	if you meet the standards, so meeting any one
12	factor alone does not necessarily mean that
13	you've met all three factors if, for example,
14	you have a life sentence.
15	Q Okay, let's look at factor
16	number five, "Any current or prior statement
17	made to the board by the crime victim or the
18	victim's representative."
19	Do you see that?
20	A (Nodding)
21	Q Why is this factor relevant to
22	determining whether or not individuals should be
23	released on parole?
2 4	A Well, if it's in the law, that
25	would be one reason why it's relevant; we must

	Page 97
1	Agostini
2	consider that.
3	Q What standard does it go to?
4	A What standard does factor
5	number five go to?
6	Q Um-hum.
7	A I think it can speak to the
8	second and third standard.
9	Q Is it ever appropriate to deny
10	parole based solely on the fact that the victim
11	opposes release?
12	A It could be.
13	Q In what circumstances?
14	A In what circumstances would it
15	be appropriate to deny somebody's release based
16	on
17	Q Solely on the fact that there's
18	victim opposition.
19	A We might find the statements
20	provided by the victim to be compelling and
21	persuasive that the person's release at this
22	time might not be compatible with the welfare of
23	society or might deprecate the offense so as to
24	undermine respect for the law.
25	Q But you would agree with me

Page 98 1 Agostini 2 that whether or not the victim made a statement 3 to the board does not bear on whether the parole applicant has been rehabilitated, meaning has no 4 5 disciplinary record or low COMPAS score or has achieved educational and vocational 6 7 accomplishments? 8 MR. HARBEN: Object to form. 9 Α I would agree that the statements of victims don't necessarily have 10 11 bearing on the rehabilitation of the person. Ι 12 think that's what you said. And if it is, I 13 would agree with you. 14 It's possible that the victim 0 15 or the victim representative may not have spoken 16 or been -- even been in the same room as the 17 inmate, the parole inmate, since the sentencing; 18 correct? 19 Α Correct. 20 Is that something you take into Q 21 account when reviewing victim opposition? 22 Α Yes. Not all victim statements are opposition, just so you know, but we --23 24 definitely, I think more often than not, they 25 have not seen or spoken to the incarcerated

Page 99 1 Agostini 2 person since sentencing, or since the offense. 3 So let's move on to factor 0 number seven, "The seriousness of the offense 4 5 with due consideration to the type of sentence, 6 the length of the sentence, and recommendations 7 of the sentencing court, the district attorney, 8 the attorney for the inmate, the pre-sentence 9 probation report, as well as consideration of 10 any mitigating and aggravating factors and 11 activities following arrest prior to 12 confinement." Let's break those out a little 13 bit. 14 Α Okav. 15 Q Is this the same assessment of 16 seriousness as under the deprecate standard, or 17 something different? 18 (Court reporter clarification) 19 Α I don't know, I would have to 20 think about that. Is the seriousness of the 21 crime -- can you say the question again? 22 So factor seven refers to the 23 seriousness of the offense, and lists a bunch of 24 things you have to consider with respect to seriousness of the offense. And I'm wondering 25

Page 100 1 Agostini 2 whether you conduct the same assessment of 3 seriousness here as you do under the deprecate standard, where you're taking into account the 4 5 seriousness of the crime, that it would under --6 deprecate and undermine the law. 7 Well, I think that factor Α 8 seven, all the factors in general, inform the 9 standards, so they do all intersect in that way. 10 So you do conduct the same 11 assessment of seriousness with respect to this 12 factor as you do with respect to the deprecate 13 standard? 14 I'm trying to understand what Α 15 you're thinking. I'm trying to understand that. 16 I mean, if it's -- if it's the one offense, it is the same offense that we are considering, 17 18 so -- yes, I guess the answer is yes. 19 I guess -- does "seriousness" Q 20 take on different meanings whether you're 21 looking at the standard or you're looking at 22 this factor? 23 I suppose it could take on Α 24 different meanings. 25 In what sense? Q

Page 102 1 Agostini 2 Α Yes. It would also go to the 3 first one and the second one. 4 Q Okay. 5 Α There's also, I believe, in 6 factor seven, the person's history.

So, for example, we have people who may be in for a burglary or a robbery, and if I'm considering the specific characteristics of this offense that they're in for, but we may also consider the fact that it is their sixth burglary or robbery. So there is -- the pattern and the history, which I think is also part of factor number seven, we consider that as well when we are trying to determine whether or not this person's release would be compatible with the welfare of society or if it would tend to deprecate the offense so as to undermine respect for the law. So that's kind sort of a general -- I think that's what I mean in general; that if you have somebody who has a pattern of behavior, such as multiple robberies, multiple burglaries, multiple rapes, something like that, that is something that we consider with the standard, and that may be different

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	Page 103
1	Agostini
2	than just the specific, this one case that we're
3	talking about now.
4	Q Okay.
5	An inmate cannot be
6	automatically denied for parole based on the
7	seriousness of their crime; correct?
8	A Well, there's nothing automatic
9	about the process or the decision making, but
10	they can be denied based on the seriousness of
11	the offense.
12	Q Well, I guess I wasn't
13	specific.
14	Can an inmate be denied parole
15	only on the basis of their crime, the nature of
16	their crime alone?
17	A If the nature of that if the
18	nature of that crime and consideration
19	surrounding it result in him or her not meeting
20	one of those standards, then, yes.
21	Q Is the same true for juvenile
22	lifers?
23	A I think it's possible that it
24	can be. But we really make we make decisions
25	based on each case. And this is like a real

## 1 Agostini 2 generalized question, is it ever possible. 3 I'm imagining that, yes, it is possible, but we make the decision based on the individual case, 4 5 the individual person, individual circumstances. 6 I mean, that's what we're trying to understand, 7 exactly, you know, what happened, who were you 8 then, who are you now, who might you be in your 9 future, what are you planning. So the decision is based on the individual case. But is it 10 11 possible that a juvenile lifer could be denied 12 based on the seriousness of the offense, that 13 that offense would -- would come -- you know, 14 result in a conclusion that they don't meet one 15 of the standards? I mean, I think that's 16 possible. 17 Generally, how heavily do you 18 weigh the nature of the crime when you're 19 assessing the standards? 20 MR. HARBEN: Sorry, that broke 21 up, I couldn't hear it. 22 MS. HERNANDEZ: I said, "How 23 heavily do you weigh the nature of the 24 crime when you're assessing the 25 standards."

## Agostini

A I think it's different in different cases. The more serious the crime, the more heavily it may be weighed; the more years that have past, the less heavily it might be weighed. So I think there are variables.

Q Let's look at recommendations of the sentencing court. Why would that be relevant to determining whether or not an individual should be released on parole?

A It is relevant because the parties at the sentencing hearing have a lot of information that was current at that time, and there can be things that are said at sentencing that are illuminating, that are helpful, that are not helpful.

It may be the intent of the judge that the person get out at their earliest opportunity, it may be the intent of the judge that the person never get out.

The incarcerated person, him or herself, might have spoken at sentencing, might have spoken very sincerely and in depth, made remarks to the court, to the families. They may not have. They may have cursed out the judge

Page 106 1 Agostini 2 and spoken profanely at sentencing. 3 There can be mitigating factors that their attorney brings up and points out. 4 5 There might have been an error in the 6 pre-sentence report that their attorney brings 7 up, points out, asks for it to be struck. 8 So there can be a lot of 9 information about activity after arrest, before 10 sentencing that will turn into incarceration, 11 that were provided to the person that they 12 didn't succeed in and that results in the 13 sentence. So there can -- there can be a lot 14 gleaned from the sentencing minutes; some 15 helpful, some not helpful; some favorable, some 16 not favorable. 17 But it would be fair to say 18 that the sentencing court would not be in a 19 position to assess whether the parole applicant 20 has been rehabilitated after the sentencing; 21 right? 22 Α Totally agree with you. 23 So let's look at the 0 24 recommendations of the district attorney. 25 Can you explain to me why this

1 Agostini 2 the discipline to see that it's a lot of 3 Tier IIs for smoking and sleeping through the count versus a lot of Tier IIIs for violent 4 5 conduct and assault, you know, on inmate or 6 staff, so it will still have the same high score 7 or low score, but you've got to look in the --8 in the record to see what it's referring to, and 9 then ask about it, what happened. 10 Okay, let's look at one last 11 If we see "Reentry substance abuse," it entry. 12 says "9, highly probable." 13 Is this something that can be 14 addressed through a parole case plan? 15 Α It can. 16 So would this be a reason to 0 17 deny parole, a high score for reentry substance abuse? 18 19 And I don't think the Α It can. 20 issue is so much the score. If the person is 21 getting high regularly and recently in prison, 22 that alone can be sufficient to raise concern 23 about whether or not there's a reasonable 24 probability they're going to remain at liberty

without once again violating the law.

Page 152 1 Agostini 2 need the score on the COMPAS to generate that concern or justify the concern. 3 Having said that, somebody can 4 5 have a high score and they can be in for years and have no tickets for substance use or 6 7 possession or alcohol intoxing, and then I would 8 disagree with the high score. If I don't see 9 anything in the record, then I don't know why 10 they necessarily have a high score. Just 11 because they used years ago doesn't mean they'll 12 use again. So I have to look in the record for 13 more information. But certainly people can have 14 treatment in the community. 15 Do you look at COMPAS scores 16 for each parole applicant who you make a parole 17 decision for? 18 Α Yes. 19 We've talked about the 0 20 regulations, the law, the COMPAS reports, but I 21 actually haven't asked you yet about what your 22 actual week looks like. 23 Can you tell me a little bit 24 about what your week looks like in terms of your 25 work?

Page 153 1 Agostini 2 Α Absolutely. 3 So Mondays I come to the office; I work in the Albany office. And if the 4 5 panel I'm going to work on is in the Albany 6 office, and I'm here all day -- the files, since 7 the pandemic, arrive on Mondays -- and then I 8 start going through everything once they get 9 here, once they're delivered. 10 Tuesdays and Wednesdays tend to be the interview days. 11 12 If I'm not assigned to Albany, 13 if I'm working out in Buffalo or Syracuse or some other place, I leave the office in the 14 15 afternoon and begin going to wherever it is that 16 I'm going. 17 I try to get to whatever office 18 it is on Mondays and start looking at my files 19 So I do like to do that. on Mondays. So 20 usually they come in the early afternoon, and --21 the only place I don't get to usually by early 22 afternoon is Buffalo, it's the longest drive, 23 but do I get to the Buffalo office on Mondays. 24 So Mondays, look at files, 25 drive to whatever place or stay here. Tuesdays

	Page 246
1	Agostini
2	Q Okay.
3	On the same page, line 17 to
4	the next page, 4, you discuss Mr. Brown's risk
5	assessment score.
6	Is this COMPAS?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Does COMPAS matter more, less
9	or the same for juvenile lifers?
10	A I think it's the same. I'm not
11	aware that there's a difference.
12	Q I'm going to return to page 22.
13	You reference the amount of
14	certificates in support that Mr. Brown has. How
15	do these things affect your decision making
16	process?
17	A For me it's a favorable it
18	affects it favorably when people have done more
19	than the required programs, which are not that
20	many programs that's required of them, and so
21	some people go above and beyond.
22	So in this case I see he got a
23	Bachelor of Science from the Niagara Consortium,
24	it says here he has a lot of certificates, so I
25	would think that's a good thing. Looks like he

	Page 247
1	Agostini
2	had done some work on outside outside of the
3	grounds. So those are those are good things.
4	Those are favorable considerations.
5	Q Then if we turn to page 25,
6	that's the decision, and Mr. Brown was denied
7	parole.
8	You can read the decision
9	before I ask you about it.
10	(Pause)
11	A Okay, I've read through it.
12	Q So it says that you denied
13	parole because Mr. Brown's release remains
14	incompatible with the welfare of the community,
15	"which still suffers from the lives you stole."
16	Could you explain what you mean
17	by that?
18	A That I think we came to the
19	determination that his release was not
20	compatible with the community where he had
21	committed those offenses, into which he would
22	return.
23	Q And what was the basis for
24	that?
25	A I'm imagining I must have had

	Page 248
1	Agostini
2	some victim impact and community opposition. I
3	don't remember this specific case, but that's
4	what I would think from reading this.
5	And it's two people that he
6	killed, I think at different times, not in
7	one in one robbery. In reading this here,
8	I'm thinking this was two different people
9	killed at separate times.
10	Q So would it be fair to say that
11	the denial of parole was because of the nature
12	of the crime?
13	A I think that would be fair to
14	say.
15	Q And did Mr. Brown's age at the
16	time of the offense fit into your decision at
17	all?
18	A I'm sure it did.
19	Q And do you believe that your
20	decision is consistent with your obligations
21	under Hawkins?
22	A Sorry, I scrolled back up to
23	the top, I'm trying to get back to the decision.
24	(Pause)
25	A I think it comports. I could

	Page 249
1	Agostini
2	certainly have added more.
3	Q What is your basis for thinking
4	that it comports with Hawkins, or the
5	requirements of Hawkins?
6	A Because I think that this was
7	discussed during the interview.
8	Q And by "this" you mean his age,
9	youth?
10	A The age and the childhood and
11	the circumstances of his life and that led to
12	the crime.
13	Q It's your understanding that
14	it's sufficient just to, during the interview,
15	consider the hallmarks of youth to be compliant
16	with the Hawkins decision; is that correct?
17	A I think it needs to be in
18	the consideration for minor offenders needs to
19	be in the interview and in the decision.
20	I don't think the decision
21	needs to go on at great length on it, but it
22	needs to be in there, which I think it is in
23	this case, and I think it's also in the
2 4	interview, from the little bit I saw here.
25	Q Would you agree with me that

	Page 281
1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	I, HELEN MITCHELL, a Registered
5	Professional Reporter and Notary Public, do
6	hereby certify:
7	I reported the proceedings in the
8	within-entitled matter, and that the within
9	transcript is a true record of such proceedings.
10	I further certify that I am not related, by
11	blood or marriage, to any of the parties in this
12	matter and that I am in no way interested in the
13	outcome of this matter.
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
15	hand this 12th day of November, 2021.
16	
17	Heur Thomas
18	
19	HELEN MITCHELL, RPR
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	